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Abstract
This study aims to determine the effect of service quality, trust, and facilities on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam. The type of research in this study is causality research, namely research that is structured to examine the possibility of a causal relationship between variables with a survey method. The data analysis method uses quantitative analysis. The location of this research is SP Hotel Batuaji, Batam City, Riau Islands, Indonesia. The population of this study were local hotel guests staying at SP Hotel Batam in 2022, totaling 520 people and a sample of 84 respondents. The instruments used in this study were observation, interview, and questionnaire. The data analysis technique uses validity and reliability tests before testing the hypothesis, first testing for deviations from classical assumptions will be carried out. In classical assumptions there are several tests that must be carried out, namely Normality Test, Multicollinearity Test and Heterosdasticity Test using SPSS version 23. Then do a hypothesis test using the t test, F test, and analysis of the coefficient of determination (R2). Partial research results Service quality has a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, where the t count for service quality is 7.505 > 1.664 t table and significance 0.000 < 0.05. Trust has a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, where the t count for trust is 2.195 > 1.664 t table and significance 0.031 < 0.05. Facilities have a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, where the t count for facilities is 3.985 > 1.664 t table and significance 0.000 < 0.05. simultaneously Service quality, trust and facilities simultaneously have a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, with F count of 75.252 > 2.72 F count with a significant level of 0.000. because the probability (0.000) is smaller than 0.05, thus the formulation of the problem and the fourth hypothesis can be accepted, it simultaneously affects the selection decision.
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1. Introduction
Service quality is the superiority felt by consumers for a product or service from the comparison between the desires and performance felt by consumers after purchasing a product or service (Abdul Gofur, 2019). In today's business competition, service is the most important thing for companies for differentiation strategies when they sell the same product. Good service will save bad food.

Conversely, a delicious main dish does not guarantee and can save bad service. This proves that service quality is a very important aspect that companies must pay attention to. With the quality of service that has been provided, consumer satisfaction will be realized indirectly.

The polite and friendly attitude of SP Hotel Batam service is a form of service that consumers expect. So that good service quality can affect the intensity of customer visits...
on the next occasion. Customers in determining service quality are not only based on the results of a service but also pay attention to the process of providing the service. This means that service quality is strongly influenced by customer perceptions, therefore companies must strive to provide the best service in order to meet or even be able to exceed customer needs in order to achieve consumer choice.

Another factor that influences hotel selection decisions is the trust factor. The satisfaction created will lead to consumer trust in the company. Trust as a condition when one of the parties involved in the exchange process is confident in the reliability and integrity of the other party (Anggraeni & Madiawati, 2016).

SP Hotel Batam still has bad behavior from the receptionist to hotel guests, especially to foreign guests where the employee cannot communicate fluently in their language. There is still an attitude of discriminating against hotel guests in serving and in stark contrast to the different cultures of the employees who serve these guests. This of course can have a bad impact on the continuity of SP Hotel Batam. facilities also play a role in attracting hotel guests.

Facilities are a form of physical side that is highlighted in the management model (Gama et al., 2016). Consumers today are critical consumers who are very careful in spending money. The facilities at SP Hotel Batam are very suitable for activities for local and foreign guests, for example, there is a place of worship according to each belief, starting from comfortable rooms, free pick-up from Batam Hang Nadim airport, laundry services, and large parking lots. Not only that, SP Hotel Batam will also provide a commission for those who take visitors to the hotel, the hotel is also equipped with free wifi, booking vehicles such as taxis. However, in the field, researchers found that there was no special service for those of the majority religion, such as sahur services outside the month of Ramadan, there was no spiritual program for each religion, and there was no special bodyguard for VVIP guests.

Based on the description above, the researchers are interested in conducting research with the title "The Effect of Service Quality, Trust, and Facilities on the Selection Decision of SP Hotel Batam".

Identification Research
1. Instability in the number of guests staying.
2. the existence of poor behavior from the receptionist to hotel guests, especially to foreign guests where the employee cannot communicate fluently in their language.
3. There is still an attitude of discriminating against hotel guests in serving and in stark contrast to employees who serve guests.

There is no special service for the majority religion, such as sahur services outside the month of Ramadan, there is no spiritual program for each religion, and there is no special bodyguard for VVIP guests and does not have a place to store important items or documents.

2. Theoretical Background
Service Quality
Service quality is the superiority felt by consumers for a product or service from the comparison between the desires and performance felt by consumers after purchasing a product or service (Abdul Gofur, 2019). Service quality is a measure of how well the level of service provided is able to match customer expectations (Mohd & Loindong, 2016). According to (Nurhayati & Arifin Utha, 2016) service quality is a consumer assessment
of the overall reliability and superiority of service. Consumers will make comparisons between what they give and what they get. According to (Fandy Tjiptono, 2017) service quality is the level of excellence expected and control over that level of excellence to fulfill customer desires.

**Service Quality Indicators**
There are dimensions of service quality, namely tangible, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy (Tjiptono, 2014)
1. Tangibles
2. Reliability
3. Responsiveness
4. Assurance
5. Empathy

**Trust**
According to (Jayanti, 2015) trust is the willingness to sensitize himself to actions taken by people he trusts based on a sense of trust and responsibility. According to (Arifin, 2011) trust is a willingness to believe in exchange partners who have confidence. According to (Rahmawati, 2018) consumer trust is the perception of reliability from the consumer's point of view based on experience or more on a sequence of transactions or interactions characterized by meeting expectations for product performance and satisfaction.

**Trust Indicators**
According to (Latiep, 2020) there are three elements that build trusting beliefs, namely:
1. Benevolence
2. Integrity
3. Competence

**Facility**
Facilities are physical equipment provided by service providers to be used by consumers in carrying out their activities (Kiswanto, 2011). According to (Arifin, 2011) facilities are everything both objects and services that accompany the services provided by companies, both service companies and industrial companies. According to (Fandy Tjiptono, 2017) facilities are physical resources that must exist before services are offered to consumers. Facilities are something that is very important in a service business, therefore existing facilities, namely the condition of the facilities, the completeness of the interior and exterior design and the cleanliness of the facilities must be considered, especially those that are closely related to what consumers feel directly.

**Facility Indicator**
According to (Kiswanto, 2011) several things that need to be considered in the provision of facilities, among others:
1. Completeness, cleanliness, and tidiness of the facilities offered is the state of the company's facilities which are equipped with accompanying attributes and supported by cleanliness and tidiness when consumers use these facilities.
2. The condition and function of the facilities to be offered are facilities that function properly and are not damaged.
3. The ease of using the facilities offered is that the facilities offered to consumers are facilities that are familiar to consumers so that consumers can use them easily.
4. The completeness of the tools used is the tools used by consumers according to their specifications.

**Purchase Decision**
Selection decisions according to (Kotler, 2013) are decisions made by consumers to select a product through the stages that consumers go through before making a purchase which includes perceived needs, activities before buying, behavior when using and feelings after buying. According to (Amalia, 2022) selection decisions are individual activities that are directly involved in making decisions to make purchases of products offered by sellers.

According to (Fandy Tjiptono, 2017) explaining the selection decision, namely consumer selection decisions are individual actions that are directly or indirectly involved in obtaining and using a product or service that is needed. In the purchasing decision-making process, there are five processes in making decisions, namely as follows:

**Purchase Decision Indicators**
According to (Kotler, 2013) there are several indicators of selection decisions that can be used, namely:
1. Product selection: Consumers determine which products to buy, consumers buy products that are considered profitable for them.
2. Selection of purchase channels: Consumers must make decisions about which distributor to visit.
3. Purchase amount: consumers can decide how many products to take and buy
5. Payment method: Consumers can make decisions about the payment method that will be made.

**Conceptual Research**
The framework is a conceptual model of how theory relates to various factors that have been identified as important problems (Sugiyono, 2017). The variables observed in this study include leadership, motivation and incentives affecting the performance of employees of PT Karya Teknik Utama.
Hypothesis
The hypothesis is an answer that has a temporary nature and its truth is continued in empirical evidence. The following is the hypothesis in this study:

a. It is suspected that service quality affects the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam
b. It is suspected that trust affects the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam.
c. It is suspected that the facility affects customer loyalty in the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam.

It is suspected that service quality, trust and facilities together have an effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam.

3. Methods
The type of research in this study is causality research, namely research that is structured to examine the possibility of a causal relationship between variables (Sanusi, 2020) with a survey method that aims to determine the effect of service quality, trust and facilities on selection decisions. Furthermore, the measurement of these variables is in a questionnaire or list of statements using a Likert scale. The data analysis method uses quantitative analysis. The location of this research is SP Batuaji Hotel, Batam City, Riau Islands, Indonesia. The population of this study were local hotel guests staying at SP Hotel Batam in 2022, totaling 520 people.

If the population is known, then the basis for determining the number of samples in order to provide accurate results according to Slovin uses the following formula:

\[ n = \frac{N}{N.d^2 + 1} \]

The population size is known to be 520 people so the sample size used is:

\[ n = \frac{520}{520 (0.10)^2 + 1} \]

\[ n = 83.87 \] (Then the respondents of this study amounted to 84 respondence)

The instruments used in this research are observation, interview, and questionnaire. The data analysis technique uses validity and reliability tests then before testing the hypothesis, it will first test for deviations from classical assumptions. In classical assumptions there are several tests that must be carried out, namely Normality Test,
Multicollinearity Test and Heterosdasticity Test using SPSS version 23. Then do a hypothesis test using the t test, F test, and analysis of the coefficient of determination (R2), explained as follows:

**t Test**
According to (Ghozali, 2020) the t statistical test basically shows how far the influence of one independent variable individually in explaining the dependent variable. To test the significance of the author using the correlation significance test formula as follows:

\[ t = \frac{r\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \]

**Description:**
- \( T \): t distribution
- \( r \): correlation coefficient
- \( n \): number of research respondents

Hypothesis formulation as follows:
- \( H_0 \): there is no significant influence
- \( H_a \): there is a significant influence

After obtaining the t value through the formula above, then to interpret the results, the following provisions apply:
- a. If \( t_{-\text{count}} > t_{-\text{table}} \) then \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_a \) is accepted, meaning that there is an effect and significance between the independent variable and the dependent variable.
- b. If \( t_{-\text{count}} < t_{-\text{table}} \) then \( H_0 \) is accepted and \( H_a \) is rejected, meaning that there is no effect and significance between the independent variable and the dependent variable.

**F Test**
According to (Ghozali, 2020) the F statistical test basically shows jointly on the dependent variable. Whether all the independent variables included in the model have a joint (simultaneous) influence on changes in the value of the dependent variable, is done through testing the amount of change in the value of the dependent variable which can be explained by changes in the value of all independent variables, for this reason it is necessary to do the F test. The F or ANOVA test is carried out by comparing the significance level set for the study with the probability value of the research results.

\[ F = \frac{R^2(n-K-1)}{K(1-R^2)} \]

**Notes:**
- \( F \): f-count which is then compared to the f-table
- \( R^2 \): Multiple correlation coefficient
- \( K \): Number of independent variables
- \( n \): Number of research samples

Hypothesis formulation as follows:
- \( H_0 \): there is no significant effect simultaneously
- \( H_a \): there is a significant effect simultaneously
To interpret the results of the significance test calculation, the following criteria are used:

a. If $\text{Sig} < \alpha$ then $\text{Ho}$ is rejected and $\text{Ha}$ is accepted, meaning that there is a simultaneous and significant effect between the independent variable and the dependent variable.

b. If $\text{Sig} > \alpha$ then $\text{Ho}$ is accepted and $\text{Ha}$ is rejected, meaning that there is a simultaneous and significant effect between the independent variables on the dependent variable.

**Coefficient of Determination Analysis (R²)**

According to (Ghozali, 2020) the coefficient of determination essentially measures how far the model's ability to explain the variation in the dependent variable. The coefficient of determination is between zero and one. A small value means that the ability of the independent variable to explain the variation in the dependent variable is very limited. A value close to one independent variable provides almost all the information needed to predict the variation in the dependent variable. The size of the number determines the strength or weakness of the variable relationship, the criteria are as follows:

a. 0 - 0.25 : low correlation
b. 0.26 - 0.50 : Moderate correlation
c. 0.51 - 0.75 : strong correlation
d. 0.76 - 1.00 : very strong correlation

4. Results and Discussion

SP Hotel Batu Aji, located 4 km from Bidadari Waterfall, features an ATM machine, parking and a newspaper stand. The hotel is also 1.5 km from Indonesian Methodist Church. Located in Batu Aji, the hotel is a 10-minute drive to Nagoya. Lagoi is 47 km from the hotel. The property is just steps away from Top 100 Tembesi Mall.

**Validity test**

**Table 1.** Service Quality Variable Validity Test ($X_1$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>$R$ Calculate</th>
<th>$R$ Table</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X1.1</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X1.2</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X1.3</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X1.4</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X1.5</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X1.6</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>X1.7</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X1.8</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>X1.9</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>X1.10</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>X1.11</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>X1.12</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2023.

**Table 2.** Results of the Trust Variable Validity Test ($X_2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Pernyataan</th>
<th>$R$ Hitung</th>
<th>$R$ Tabel</th>
<th>Keterangan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X2.1</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X2.2</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X2.3</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X2.4</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Facility Variable Validity Test (X3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Pernyataan</th>
<th>R Hitung</th>
<th>R Tabel</th>
<th>Keterangan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>X3.1</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>X3.2</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>X3.3</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X3.4</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X3.5</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X3.6</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>X3.7</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>X3.8</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>X3.9</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>X3.10</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>X3.11</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>X3.12</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2023

### Table 4. Results of the Validity Test of the Purchasing Decision (Y)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Pernyataan</th>
<th>R Hitung</th>
<th>R Tabel</th>
<th>Keterangan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y.1</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y.2</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y.3</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Y.4</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Y.5</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y.6</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Y.7</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Y.8</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Y.9</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Y.10</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Y.11</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Y.12</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2023.
Reliability test

Table 5: Reliability test result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Variabel</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
<th>N of item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Service quality</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Purchasing Decision</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2023.

Based on this value, it can be concluded that the statement items for each research variable are realizable. This means that there is consistency in the answers of respondents to the variable statements of service quality, trust, facilities and selection decisions.

Normality Test

In this study, a normality test was carried out to determine whether the data was normally distributed or not. This normality test is carried out with a statistical tool, namely SPSS software. In Figure 2, the P-P Plot normality graph shows that the points spread around the diagonal line and the distribution follows the direction of the diagonal line. This graph concludes that the regression capital line fulfills the assumption of normality.

Picture 2. Graph Normalitas (P-P Plot)

Multicolinearity Test

Multicollinearity testing is done to ensure that there is no correlation between the independent variables. Symptoms of multicollinearity can be known through a test that can detect whether the equation formed occurs multicollinearity. To detect symptoms of multicollinearity is the variable inflation factor (VIF).
Table 6: Multicollinearity Test result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Collinearity Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>.624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary data processed, 2023.

The VIF value for each independent variable is less than 10. The VIF value of the service quality variable is 1.624, the trust variable is 2.080 and the facility variable is 1.603. The tolerance value for each independent variable is greater than 0.10. The service quality variable is 0.616, the trust variable is 0.481 and the facility variable is 0.624. So that in this study it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity between the independent variables.

Heteroscedasticity Test

In picture bellow, the Partial Regression Plot graph shows that the points on the scatter plot spread and do not form a pattern and the distribution is above and below the number 0, this indicates that the model is normally distributed.

Picture 3. Graph Partial Regression Plot

Source: Primary data processed, 2023.

In the Partial Regression Plot graph, it can be seen that the points on the scatter plot spread and do not form a pattern and the distribution is above and below the number 0, this indicates that the model does not have heteroscedasticity symptoms.
Multiple Regression Analysis
The multiple linear regression equation in this study can be seen in the following table:

**Table 7: Multiple Regression Analysis Result**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a. Dependent Variable: Purchasing Decision

Based on the results of data processing in the table above, the regression equation can be obtained as follows: \( Y = 11.469 + 0.709X1 + 0.194X2 + 0.323X3 + e \)

This means:
1. This shows that if the service quality, trust and value facilities are zero, then the selection decision has a value of 11.469.
2. The service quality variable has a regression coefficient value of 0.709, this means that if the other independent variables are fixed or unchanged, then every 1 point or 1% increase in the service quality variable will increase the selection decision by 0.709.
3. The trust variable has a regression coefficient value of 0.184, this means that if the other independent variables are fixed or unchanged, then every 1 point or 1% increase in the trust variable will increase the selection decision by 0.184.
4. The facility variable has a regression coefficient value of 0.323, this means that if the other independent variables are fixed or unchanged, then each 1 point or 1% increase in the facility variable will increase the selection decision by 0.323.

**t Test (Partial)**

**Table 8: Partial Test Result**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a. Dependent Variable: Purchasing Decision

Source: Primary data processed, 2023.

Based on the table above, it can be seen as follows:

a. The t value for the service quality variable is 7.505> 1.664 t table and the sig value is 0.000 <0.05. Based on the results obtained, H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted for the service quality variable, thus partially the service quality variable has a significant effect on selection decisions.
b. The t value for the trust variable is $2.195 > 1.664$ t table and the sig value is $0.031 < 0.05$. Based on the results obtained, H0 is rejected and H2 is accepted for the trust variable, thus the trust variable partially has a significant effect on the selection decision.

c. The t value for the facility variable is $3.985 > 1.664$ t table and the sig value is $0.000 < 0.05$. Based on the results obtained, H0 is rejected and H3 is accepted for the facility variable, thus the facility variable partially has a significant effect on the selection decision.

**F Test (Simultaneous)**

Simultaneous test is used to determine the effect of independent variables simultaneously affecting the dependent variable. Hypothesis testing is done by comparing the F-count value with the F-table at a significance level of 0.05%.

**Table 9. F Test Result**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>1343.767</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>447.922</td>
<td>75.25</td>
<td>&lt;.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>476.185</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5.952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1819.952</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Purchasing Decision

b. Predictors: (Constant), Facility, Service Quality, Trust

Source: Primary data processed, 2023.

The results of data processing in table above show the calculated F value of $75.252 > 2.72$ F table and a significance of $0.000 < 0.05$, so the decision taken is H0 rejected and H4 accepted. The conclusion is that simultaneously the service quality variable (X1), the trust variable (X2) and the facility variable (X3) have a significant effect on the selection decision variable (Y), meaning that service quality, trust and facilities can explain the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam.

**Determination Analysis (R²)**

This analysis is used in conjunction to determine the amount or percentage of the contribution of the influence of the independent variables in the regression model to influence the dependent variable.

**Table 10. Determination Test Result**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Summaryb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Facility, Service Quality, Trust

b. Dependent Variable: Purchasing Decision

Source: Primary data processed, 2023

The value of the R number is 0.859, meaning that the correlation between the variables of service quality, trust and facilities on the selection decision is 85.9%. The determination value (R2) obtained is 0.738, this means that the percentage of the contribution of the variables of service quality, trust and facilities in the regression model...
is 73.8% and the relationship that occurs is strong, while the remaining 26.2% is explained by other variables not examined or not included in this study. Based on the results of the analysis, it can be concluded that service quality, trust and facilities together are able to make a large or strong contribution to the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam.

Discussion

The Effect of Service Quality on Selection Decisions

The results in this study indicate that the service quality variable has a t value of 7.505 > 1.664 t table and a significance of 0.000 < 0.05, the decision H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted, the conclusion is that service quality partially has a positive effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam. These results are obtained because hotel guests get excellent service from the hotel, the services provided make guests feel comfortable and there is a consistent attitude from the hotel in serving guests who stay overnight, so this has an influence on selection decisions. This research is in line with research (Suryawardana & Yani, 2017)(D. M. S. Y. L. Nasib, 2022)(Martin, 2021) with the title of analyzing the effect of reputation, service quality and trust on purchasing decisions at Public Fuel Filling Stations (SPBU) in Semarang City.

The Effect of Trust on Selection Decisions

The results in this study indicate that the trust variable has a t value of 2.195 > 1.664 t table and a significance of 0.031 < 0.05, which means that H0 is rejected and H2 is accepted, the conclusion is that trust partially has a positive effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam. This result is obtained because guests have a sense of trust that SP Hotel Batam is able to provide what the guests who stay overnight want and is able to resolve various complaints from guests during their stay, so that this can have an influence on hotel selection decisions. This research is in line with research (Susilo et al., 2018)(E. H. S. S. C. Nasib, 2019)(Herwan Nafil, 2019)(S. Nasib, 2021)(Daulay, 2021) with the title analysis of the effect of price, service quality, promotion, and trust on customer satisfaction with visiting decisions as an intervening variable at Amanda Hills Bandungan Hotel.

The Effect of Facilities on Selection Decisions

The results in this study indicate that the facility variable has a t value of 3.985 > 1.664 t table and a significance of 0.000 < 0.05, the decision is H0 rejected and H3 is accepted, the conclusion is that the facility partially has a positive effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam. These results are obtained because guests can use the various facilities available at SP Hotel Batam, the facilities provided are in accordance with the hospitality standards of the man fund used, so this can have an influence on hotel selection decisions. This research is in line with research (Gama et al., 2016)(Fadli, 2020)(Fadila, 2021) with the title of the influence of location, facilities, and services on purchasing decisions (Study at the Guwang Sukawati Art Market).

The Effect of Service Quality, Trust and Facilities on Selection Decisions

The results in this study and based on the results of processed data, it is obtained that the calculated F value is 75.252 > 2.72 F table and significance 0.000 < 0.05, which means that H0 is rejected and H4 is accepted. The conclusion is that service quality, trust and facilities simultaneously have a positive effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam. In this study, the presence of quality service, maintained trust and adequate facilities are
able to influence the selection decision. This research is in line with Sulistiono's research (2013), Suryawardana's research (2015), (Gama et al., 2016)’ research, Lisa's research (2018) and Susilo's research (2018).

5. Conclusion
Based on the results and discussion above, it can be concluded as follows.

a. Service quality has a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, where the t count for service quality is 7.505 > 1.664 t table and significance 0.000 < 0.05, thus the formulation of the problem and the first hypothesis for service quality can be accepted with the results of t count greater than t table and significance smaller than 0.05.

b. Trust has a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, where the t count for trust is 2.195 > 1.664 t table and significance 0.031 < 0.05, thus the formulation of the problem and the second hypothesis for trust can be accepted with the results of t count greater than t table and significance smaller than 0.05.

c. Facilities have a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, where the t count for facilities is 3.985 > 1.664 t table and significance 0.000 < 0.05, thus the formulation of problems and the third hypothesis for facilities can be accepted with the results of t count greater than t table and significance smaller than 0.05.

d. Service quality, trust and facilities simultaneously have a positive and significant effect on the decision to choose SP Hotel Batam, with F count of 75.252 > 2.72 F count with a significant level of 0.000, because the probability (0.000) is smaller than 0.05, thus the formulation of the problem and the fourth hypothesis can be accepted, then simultaneously affect the selection decision.
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