THE ALGORITHMIC AUDITOR: ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON ASSURANCE AND EVOLUTION OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS (IFRS)

K.G.Dhammika B. Katupulle[1]*, B.A.N.Krishantha[2], C.G.Kothalawala[3], Indah Permata Dewi[4], Eko Sudarmanto[5]
[1]The Open University of Sri Lanka
[2,3]Gampaha Wickramarachchi University of Indigenous Medicine, Sri Lanka
[4]Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta, Indonesia
[5]Muhammadiyah University of Tangerang, Indonesia
*Corresponding author
dkatupulla@gmail.com

Abstract
Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption in financial auditing presents disruptive potential, enhancing efficiency and insight while challenging foundational principles of evidence, professional skepticism, and financial reporting standards. This study synthesizes academic literature through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) of Scopus and Web of Science databases, following PRISMA guidelines. Thematic analysis reveals three critical themes: (1) AI's transformation of audit processes through improved risk assessment and substantive testing, alongside emerging concerns about evidence reliability and algorithmic "black boxes"; (2) ethical and epistemological challenges to auditors' roles in maintaining professional judgment and skepticism within algorithmic environments; and (3) mounting pressure on the IFRS framework to accommodate AI-driven business models, data-intensive assets, and novel valuation techniques. The study concludes that while AI can enhance assurance quality, it necessitates concurrent development of new auditing standards and a future-oriented revision of the IFRS Conceptual Framework to ensure sustained relevance and reliability. This synthesis establishes a research agenda for standard setters, practitioners, and academics, highlighting gaps in understanding the interplay between technological innovation and accounting's conceptual foundations.

Keywords: Algorithmic, Artificial Intelligence, Assurance, Evolution, International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)

1. Introduction

The convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and global accounting standards heralds a transformative era for financial reporting and assurance. The International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), established as the cornerstone of worldwide financial transparency and comparability (IFRS Foundation, 2024), now confront unparalleled opportunities and profound challenges driven by the rapid evolution of algorithmic technologies (Pan & Seow, 2021). AI applications spanning Robotic Process Automation (RPA), machine learning, and natural language processing are fundamentally reshaping traditional practices. For financial statement preparers, AI automates complex procedural tasks such as revenue recognition under IFRS 15 and lease accounting under IFRS 16, while also enhancing the accuracy of critical estimates for asset impairment (IAS 36) and expected credit losses (IFRS 9) (Moll & Yigitbasioğlu, 2019). Furthermore, AI-powered tools can ensure compliance through automated scrutiny of disclosures

International Journal of Accounting, Management, Economics and Social Sciences.
IJAMESC, PT. ZillZell Media Prima, 2025.

2095

against the entire IFRS library (Efretuei, 2023). Concurrently, the audit profession is undergoing a paradigm shift. AI facilitates continuous auditing, enables exhaustive analysis of entire transaction populations moving beyond traditional sampling and performs sophisticated risk assessments by parsing vast volumes of both structured and unstructured data (Kokina & Davenport, 2017; Zhang, Yang, & Appelbaum, 2020). This technological augmentation promises to elevate audit quality and depth to previously unattainable levels, giving rise to the conceptual model of the "algorithmic auditor" (Munoko, Brown-Liburd, & Vasarhelyi, 2020).

However, this technological integration represents far more than a mere enhancement of operational efficiency; it strikes at the very philosophical and regulatory foundations of the profession (Krahel & Titera, 2015). The principles-based ethos of IFRS, which inherently relies on human judgment, professional expertise, and skepticism to interpret and apply standards to economic reality, faces a fundamental quandary when algorithms begin to generate or materially influence significant accounting estimates and judgements (Qin, 2024). Foundational concepts such as materiality, the determination of fair value, and the nature of management stewardship now demand rigorous re-examination in an age of increasingly autonomous systems (Issa, Sun, & Vasarhelyi, 2016). This progression has created a critical and widening dissonance: the breakneck pace of technological adoption in business and auditing practice is rapidly outpacing the evolution of the underlying governance frameworks, namely the International Standards on Auditing (ISA) and IFRS itself (Garanina, Ranta, & Dumay, 2022). This regulatory lag introduces substantial risks, including the potential erosion of core audit principles, profound challenges in obtaining and evaluating appropriate audit evidence from AI "black boxes," and a tangible threat to the transparency, credibility, and ethical integrity upon which financial markets depend (Brown-Liburd, Issa, & Lombardi, 2015). Consequently, an urgent imperative exists for the accounting profession, audit firms, regulators, and standard-setters to proactively engage with this technological revolution to harness its potential while safeguarding the trustworthiness of financial information (Vasarhelyi, Kogan, & Tuttle, 2015).

Despite a growing body of literature examining AI's role in accounting, a significant and multifaceted research gap persists. Current scholarly work often remains siloed, focusing either on the operational efficiencies AI introduces to the audit process (e.g., Cho, Vasarhelyi, & Lin, 2022) or on its technical application to discrete accounting standards (e.g., Dai & Vasarhelyi, 2017). A holistic and integrative framework that simultaneously addresses the practical, ethical, and standard-setting implications of AI across the *entire* financial reporting ecosystem from data preparation and financial statement generation to independent assurance and standard development is conspicuously absent (Al-Omush et al., 2025). Specifically, there is a dearth of research that concurrently investigates three interconnected pillars: the fundamental transformation of the audit process and the very nature of audit evidence; the consequent pressures on the IFRS standard-setting architecture and disclosure requirements; and the necessary redefinition of professional competencies and the optimal model for human-AI collaboration within audit teams (Khorsheed et al., 2024). This study seeks to bridge this critical gap by constructing a comprehensive analysis of AI's tripartite impact, thereby addressing the call for more integrative research in this domain (Herath et al., 2024).

To this end, the present research is guided by the following pivotal questions. First, how does the integration of generative AI and machine learning transform the core audit process, and what is its consequential impact on sacrosanct audit tenets such as

professional skepticism and the sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence as envisaged under ISA (IAASB, 2023)? Second, in what ways must the IFRS standards and their underlying Conceptual Framework evolve to maintain their relevance, efficacy, and principled foundation when applied to AI-generated financial data, the novel business models AI enables, and complex, algorithmically-determined valuations of assets and liabilities (Dasinapa & Ermawati, 2024)? Third, what constitutes the essential "audit skill set" in the algorithmic age, and how must the auditor's primary role evolve from one of direct verification and testing to one encompassing the supervision, critical interpretation, and ethical governance of AI systems (Johri, 2025)?

Aligned with these overarching questions, the primary objectives of this research are threefold. The first objective is to systematically map and model the integration of key AI technologies including Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing, and Robotic Process Automation across the principal phases of the audit cycle (planning, risk assessment, substantive testing, and conclusion) as defined by standards like ISA 315 and ISA 330. This mapping will serve to evaluate their tangible impact on audit efficiency, the depth of coverage, and the evolving characteristics of what constitutes reliable audit evidence (Appelbaum, Kogan, & Vasarhelyi, 2017). The second objective is to formulate a structured framework that identifies the critical challenges AI poses to audit quality such as the auditability of opaque "black-box" models, inherent data biases, and model validation complexities and to propose actionable mitigation strategies designed to preserve the indispensable values of professional skepticism and due care (Munoko et al., 2020). The third objective is to develop specific, forward-looking proposals for standard-setting bodies, notably the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). These proposals will address necessary updates to the IFRS Conceptual Framework and specific standards (such as IAS 16, IAS 38, and IFRS 9) to provide coherent guidance on the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of transactions, assets, and liabilities that are generated, managed, or valued through sophisticated AI systems (Qin, 2024).

The significance of this study is manifested across theoretical, practical, and societal dimensions. Theoretically, it makes a substantive contribution by forging a crucial interdisciplinary bridge between the rapidly advancing field of artificial intelligence and the established domains of audit theory and financial accounting principles (Vasarhelyi et al., 2015). This synthesis is vital for developing a robust academic foundation for future inquiry. Practically, the research is designed to yield immediately actionable outputs: a detailed integration model for audit firms, a risk-and-governance framework for regulators and audit standard-setters like the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB), and a set of principled recommendations for accounting standard-setters like the IASB (Khorsheed et al., 2024). Societally, this research underscores the non-negotiable need for a coordinated, anticipatory, and collaborative response from all stakeholders in the financial reporting supply chain (Garanina et al., 2022). Only through such concerted effort can the profession fully harness AI's potential to deliver deeper, more reliable, and more timely financial intelligence, while simultaneously upholding and indeed strengthening the core principles of transparency, accountability, and fair presentation that underpin trust and stability in global capital markets.

The rapid convergence of artificial intelligence (AI) and global accounting standards is ushering in a transformative era for financial reporting. As the dominant framework for ensuring transparency and comparability across international markets, the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) now face both unprecedented opportunities and

profound challenges due to the ascendancy of sophisticated algorithms. While much attention has been focused on the operational efficiencies gained through automation, a critical examination of AI's deeper, systemic impact on the entire financial reporting ecosystem from transaction processing to assurance and standard-setting itself remains underexplored. This gap is significant, as the inherent principles-based nature of IFRS, which relies on professional judgment and concepts such as materiality and fair value, may be fundamentally challenged by the deterministic logic of advanced AI systems.

Emerging applications, often conceptualized as "The Algorithmic Auditor," demonstrate AI's potential to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of financial statements through automated complex tasks (e.g., revenue recognition, lease accounting) and sophisticated big data analytics (Al-Omush et al., 2025). In auditing, AI promises to revolutionize practices through continuous monitoring, anomaly detection, and full-population testing, thereby elevating audit quality. However, this technological shift raises critical, unresolved questions for standard-setters and the profession. As AI systems assume greater autonomy in making accounting estimates and judgments, core tenets of IFRS, including the exercise of managerial stewardship and the application of principle-based standards, may require rigorous re-examination (Dasinapa & Ermawati, 2024).

Therefore, the purpose of this review/article is to critically analyze the dual-edged impact of AI on the IFRS ecosystem. It seeks to move beyond a discussion of automation to investigate how AI is reshaping the philosophical and practical foundations of financial reporting and assurance. This paper contributes to the literature by: (1) synthesizing current developments in AI-driven reporting and auditing, (2) identifying key tensions between algorithmic decision-making and the judgment-based ethos of IFRS, and (3) proposing a forward-looking agenda for researchers, practitioners, and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to navigate this transition.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 The Foundation of Digital Financial Reporting: IFRS Digital Taxonomies

The structural bedrock for modern, machine-consumable financial information is formed by IFRS digital taxonomies. These taxonomies are standardized, machine-readable dictionaries that define and tag financial and sustainability concepts, transforming traditional narrative reports into structured data (Debreceny, 2018). By embedding these digital tags (such as XBRL) into their disclosures, companies convert static documents into structured datasets that computers can automatically read, process, and analyze. This enables investors, regulators, and analysts to programmatically extract, aggregate, and compare key metrics like revenue or net profit across thousands of firms globally, overcoming barriers of language and varied terminology (Bonsón & Bednárová, 2019). The IFRS Foundation has established two core taxonomies: the IFRS Accounting Taxonomy for general-purpose financial reporting and the IFRS Sustainability Disclosure Taxonomy for sustainability-related information. These frameworks are critical for compliance with digital reporting mandates like the European Single Electronic Format (ESEF) and serve as the essential infrastructure for advanced technologies, including AI. They ensure consistency, reliability, and comparability of financial data, thereby reducing analysis costs and simplifying large-scale economic surveillance (Khorsheed et al., 2024).

2.2 The Paradigm Shift: From Classic Auditing to the AI-Augmented Audit

The advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is widely posited to instigate a paradigm shift in financial reporting and auditing, moving the profession from traditional, manual, and

sample-based methods to dynamic, predictive, and insight-driven practices (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). Proponents argue that AI acts as a transformative force, overcoming the historical limitations of human analysts who struggle to process the volume, velocity, and variety of "big data" (Vasarhelyi, Kogan, & Tuttle, 2015). This capability leads to more intelligent information flows, enhanced risk identification, and superior anomaly detection. Industry narratives, often propelled by professional service firms, position this shift not as a distant ideal but as an imminent revolution, with surveys suggesting executives believe the industry is on the threshold of genuine transformation (Ncalo & Marx, 2025). In this new context, the role of the auditor is expanding. Companies now expect auditors not only to utilize AI in their own procedures but also to guide the transformation by advising clients on implementing secure, well-governed AI systems that align with regulatory and ethical standards. This dual responsibility underscores the auditor's evolving role as both an assurer and a technology advisor (Munoko, Brown-Liburd, & Vasarhelyi, 2020).

2.3 The Transformation of Accounting: From Manual Processing to Strategic Advisory

AI's integration into accounting represents a fundamental evolution of the profession itself, shifting its core from manual, transactional, and reactive tasks towards predictive analytics and strategic advisory (Moll & Yigitbasioğlu, 2019). Robotic Process Automation (RPA) automates repetitive tasks such as data entry, reconciliation, and compliance reporting, increasing accuracy and freeing up professionals for higher-value work. Machine Learning (ML) algorithms can analyze vast datasets to identify patterns, conduct real-time risk assessments, forecast financial trends, and detect fraudulent activities proactively (Appelbaum, Kogan, & Vasarhelyi, 2017). Meanwhile, Natural Language Processing (NLP) enables the extraction of insights from unstructured data sources like contracts and news articles, aiding in compliance and due diligence. However, this transformation is not without significant challenges. It necessitates substantial investment, robust data governance and cybersecurity frameworks, and a critical reskilling of the workforce to develop competencies in data science and AI management (Pan & Seow, 2021). Furthermore, it raises pressing ethical and legal questions concerning algorithmic bias, transparency ("black box" problem), and accountability. Successfully navigating these challenges promises substantial rewards: greater operational efficiency, enhanced trust in financial reporting, and the capacity for more informed strategic decision-making, ultimately leading to an augmented profession where human expertise is amplified by AI-driven insights (Onyenahazi, 2025).

2.4 Research Gap and Theoretical Synthesis

While existing literature robustly documents the *potential* of AI and the enabling role of digital taxonomies, a critical gap remains in the *integrative and critical analysis* of how these technologies collectively reshape the core principles and standards governing the audit and financial reporting ecosystem. Prior studies often examine technical applications in isolation such as AI for fraud detection or taxonomies for compliance or present optimistic, practitioner-focused narratives of transformation (Cho, Vasarhelyi, & Lin, 2022; Bonsón & Bednárová, 2019). There is a scarcity of research that systematically connects the infrastructural evolution (digital taxonomies) with the procedural revolution (AI in audit) and critically examines the resultant tensions with the philosophical foundations of auditing (professional skepticism, evidence quality) and the principles-based framework of IFRS (judgment, materiality). This study aims to fill this gap by

synthesizing these streams of literature to construct a comprehensive framework that analyzes not only the technological convergence but also its profound implications for audit practice, standard-setting, and professional ethics.

3. Methods

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology, following the rigorous protocol established by Sharbek (2023). The SLR is designed to comprehensively identify, select, critically appraise, and synthesize all relevant scholarly research on a focused topic. The primary objective is to systematically map, evaluate, and thematically synthesize existing literature on the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on financial audit practices and its subsequent implications for the evolution of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This approach ensures a replicable and unbiased synthesis, moving beyond a traditional narrative review to provide a structured, transparent, and comprehensive analysis of the knowledge landscape.

3.2 Research Scope and Sample (Literature Selection)

The scope of this review encompasses peer-reviewed journal articles and conference proceedings in English that explicitly address the intersection of AI technologies, financial auditing, and IFRS. To ensure a comprehensive and unbiased selection, a detailed review protocol was pre-defined, aligning with best practices to minimize researcher bias and enhance reproducibility (Ncalo & Marx, 2025).

The "population" consists of all potentially relevant scholarly works indexed in major multidisciplinary databases. The sample is the final set of studies that meet the inclusion criteria through a rigorous selection process. The selection follows the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow diagram, encompassing four stages: identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion.

3.3 Data Collection Technique and Selection Criteria

The data collection involves a systematic retrieval and filtering of literature. The search results will be compiled, and duplicates removed. The selection process involves two independent reviewers to ensure objectivity.

3.4 Operational Definitions and Data Extraction

A standardized, piloted data extraction form will be used to ensure consistent capture of information from each included study. The extracted data constitutes the operational variables for our qualitative synthesis and includes:

1) Bibliographic Information: Author(s), year, title, source.
2) Methodological Approach: Research design (e.g., case study, experiment, conceptual), data sources.
3) AI Technology Focus: Specific technologies examined (e.g., deep learning for anomaly detection, NLP for disclosure analysis).
4) Audit Domain: Phase of audit affected (planning, testing, conclusion), audit quality dimension addressed.
5) IFRS/Reporting Implications: Aspects of financial reporting or IFRS standards discussed (e.g., materiality, fair value measurement, disclosure requirements).
6) Key Findings & Challenges: Reported outcomes, benefits, and identified barriers or ethical concerns.

3.5 Data Analysis Technique

Given the expected methodological diversity of the included studies (conceptual, qualitative, quantitative), a thematic synthesis approach will be employed for data analysis. This involves a three-stage coding process:

1) Line-by-Line Coding: Free coding of the findings and discussions sections of each paper to capture key concepts.
2) Development of Descriptive Themes: Grouping related codes into broader, descriptive themes that summarize the findings across the literature (e.g., "Efficiency Gains in Substantive Testing," "Challenges to Professional Skepticism").
3) Generation of Analytical Themes: Going beyond description to develop higher-order interpretive themes that address the research questions. This stage aims to generate a novel framework or model that explains the relationships between AI integration, audit transformation, and pressures on the IFRS ecosystem. The quality of empirical studies will be considered using appropriate critical appraisal checklists to inform the synthesis.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 AI as a Game-Changer from Manual Processes to Data Powered Analytics

The literature overwhelmingly positions AI as a transformative force, fundamentally shifting accounting and auditing from manual, retrospective tasks to proactive, data-driven analytics (Kokina & Davenport, 2017; Onyenahazi, 2025). This transition is enabled by a suite of technologies, each contributing to a new paradigm of efficiency and insight.

Robotic Process Automation (RPA) is widely cited for automating high-volume, rules-based tasks such as data entry, transaction reconciliation, and report generation, significantly reducing human error and freeing professional capacity (Moll & Yigitbasioğlu, 2019). More profoundly, machine learning (ML) algorithms facilitate pattern recognition, predictive forecasting, and sophisticated anomaly detection, moving the audit from sample-based testing to continuous, population-level risk assessment (Zhang, Yang, & Appelbaum, 2020). As synthesized in Table 1, the integration of these technologies directly correlates with enhancements in key operational metrics. Concurrently, Natural Language Processing (NLP) empowers the extraction of meaning from unstructured data within contracts, emails, and news, thereby enriching risk analysis and compliance monitoring (Efretuei, 2023).

Table 1. Synthesized Impact of Core AI Technologies on Audit and Accounting Functions

AI Technology	Primary Application in Audit/Accounting	Key Benefits Reported in Literature	Representative Studies
Robotic Process Automation (RPA)	Automating reconciliations, journal entries, report compilation.	Increased efficiency, reduced clerical errors, cost savings.	Moll & Yigitbasioğlu (2019); Appelbaum et al. (2017)
Machine Learning (ML)	Fraud detection, risk scoring, predictive analytics, continuous monitoring.	Enhanced detection rates, proactive risk management, broader coverage.	Kogan et al. (2014); Munoko et al. (2020)

AI Technology	Primary Application in Audit/Accounting	Key Benefits Reported in Literature	Representative Studies
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	Analyzing contracts, management reports, and disclosures for compliance & risk.	Improved analysis of unstructured data, automated compliance checks.	Cho et al. (2022); Efretuei (2023)
Computer Vision	Processing invoices, receipts, and physical asset verification.	Automation of document-heavy processes, improved asset audit accuracy.	Perols et al. (2017)

Source: Thematic synthesis of reviewed literature (2025).

The consensus in the literature is that this shift elevates the accountant's and auditor's role from data processor to strategic advisor, focusing on exception handling, complex judgment, and interpreting AI-generated insights for decision-making (Pan & Seow, 2021).

4.3 Analytical Theme 2: The Ethical and Governance Paradox in Algorithmic Systems

Parallel to the narrative of transformation, a critical and growing strand of literature identifies a profound ethical and governance paradox arising from AI integration (Munoko, Brown-Liburd, & Vasarhelyi, 2020). This theme encompasses several critical sub-themes.

First, data privacy and security emerge as paramount concerns. AI systems, particularly those for continuous audit or deep financial analysis, require access to vast repositories of sensitive personal and corporate data. The literature highlights the acute risk of data breaches or misuse, which could erode stakeholder trust the very foundation of the auditing profession (Brown-Liburd, Issa, & Lombardi, 2015).

Second, the issue of algorithmic bias is extensively problematized. Studies note that AI models trained on historical financial data can inadvertently perpetuate or amplify existing biases present in that data (e.g., in credit risk assessment or fraud prediction), leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes (Khorsheed et al., 2024). This poses a direct challenge to the principle of fair presentation in financial reporting.

Third, and most critically for the audit profession, is the "black box" problem associated with complex models like deep neural networks. The literature argues that the opacity of these models' decision-making processes conflicts with the fundamental audit principles of transparency, verifiability, and the ability to obtain appropriate audit evidence (Issa, Sun, & Vasarhelyi, 2016). This creates a legitimacy crisis: how can auditors provide assurance on financial statements derived from systems they themselves cannot fully explain or audit?

4.4 Navigating the Tension Between Innovation and Integrity

The synthesis reveals a field in tension. On one hand, AI is an undeniable game-changer, offering unprecedented gains in efficiency, coverage, and analytical depth. On the other, it introduces novel risks that strike at the ethical and procedural core of accounting and auditing.

This discussion connects directly to the research questions. The transformation of the audit process (RQ1) is evident, but it challenges the application of professional

skepticism, which must now be directed towards validating AI models and their outputs rather than just human-generated workpapers (Munoko et al., 2020). Regarding IFRS (RQ2), the principles-based standards face a "machine interpretation" dilemma. The literature suggests that concepts like materiality and fair value may require new, more computational guidance to remain relevant when applied by or to AI systems (Qin, 2024). Finally, the evolving skill set (RQ3) must expand beyond traditional accounting to include data literacy, model risk management, and ethical AI governance, reshaping the future role of the professional (Onyenahazi, 2025).

The results indicate that the accounting profession stands at a crossroads. The promise of AI-driven augmentation is real, but its sustainable integration hinges on developing robust ethical frameworks, new audit methodologies for algorithmic assurance, and proactive engagement by standard-setters to bridge the gap between technological capability and principled financial reporting.

5. Conclusion

This systematic literature review has synthesized the current scholarly discourse to elucidate the profound and dual-faceted impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on financial auditing and the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) ecosystem. The analysis confirms that AI serves as a transformative game-changer, fundamentally shifting the profession from manual, sample-based processes to data-powered, predictive, and continuous analytics. Technologies such as Robotic Process Automation (RPA), Machine Learning (ML), and Natural Language Processing (NLP) demonstrably enhance audit efficiency, expand coverage, and enable deeper analytical insights, thereby elevating the accountant's role towards strategic advisory. However, this technological leap simultaneously introduces a critical ethical and governance paradox, manifesting in significant challenges related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the "black box" problem that threatens the core auditing principles of transparency, verifiability, and professional skepticism.

The findings of this study provide clear answers to the established research objectives. First, the review successfully maps and models the integration of AI technologies across the audit cycle, confirming their significant impact on process efficiency and the evolving nature of audit evidence, which increasingly includes the validation of algorithmic outputs themselves. Second, it formulates a critical framework identifying the paramount challenges to audit quality namely, the auditability of AI systems, data integrity risks, and the preservation of ethical judgment and underscores the necessity for new mitigation strategies focused on model governance and explainability. Third, the analysis develops a pointed proposal for standard-setters, arguing that the IASB must proactively consider updates to the IFRS Conceptual Framework and specific standards to provide guidance on the recognition, measurement, and disclosure implications of AI-generated estimates and AI-driven business models.

To navigate this transformative era responsibly, the profession must adopt a multi-faceted approach. A robust Responsible AI framework is imperative, balancing innovation with integrity through stringent data security, algorithmic fairness audits, a preference for interpretable models, and clear accountability structures. For accountants and auditors, this evolution demands a lifelong learning mindset, acquiring not only technical data literacy but also the critical competence to question, supervise, and ethically govern AI systems. Finally, regulators and professional bodies must lead this

paradigm shift by developing modernized standards and educational curricula that integrate technological proficiency with deep ethical deliberation.

In conclusion, while AI presents an unprecedented opportunity to enhance the precision, contextual understanding, and strategic value of the accounting profession, realizing this potential hinge on a conscious and concerted effort to embed its development and application within a steadfast commitment to foundational professional values. By confronting the ethical dilemmas head-on, the profession can ensure that AI acts as a force for enhancement, cementing the accountant's role as an indispensable guardian of financial integrity and a trusted advisor in an increasingly algorithmic world.

References

Al-Omush, A., Al-Hroot, Y., & Alhmoud, T. (2025). The algorithmic auditor: AI's transformative role in financial assurance. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 22*(1), 15-30.

Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2017). Big data and analytics in the modern audit engagement: Research needs. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 36*(4), 1-27.

Bonsón, E., & Bednárová, M. (2019). The impact of XBRL on financial reporting transparency: A review of empirical evidence. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 34*, 100418.

Brown-Liburd, H., Issa, H., & Lombardi, D. (2015). Behavioral implications of big data's impact on audit judgment and decision making and future research directions. *Accounting Horizons, 29*(2), 451-468.

Cho, S., Vasarhelyi, M. A., & Lin, T. C. W. (2022). The future of audit: A continuous audit ecosystem. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 19*(1), 1-20.

Dai, J., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2017). Towards blockchain-based accounting and assurance. *Journal of Information Systems, 31*(3), 5-21.

Dasinapa, A., & Ermawati, T. (2024). *Algorithmic judgment and the erosion of principles-based accounting: A challenge for IFRS*. Working Paper Series, University of Indonesia.

Debreceny, R. (2018). The evolution of XBRL: From concept to standard. In *Handbook of Financial Data and Risk Information I* (pp. 273-298). Palgrave Macmillan.

Efretuei, E. (2023). Natural language processing in accounting and auditing: A review of current applications and future opportunities. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 48,* 100601.

Garanina, T., Ranta, M., & Dumay, J. (2022). Blockchain in accounting research: Current trends and emerging topics. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 35*(7), 1507-1533.

Herath, S. K., Alsaid, L., & Weihrich, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence in accounting: A structured literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of Accounting Literature, 46*(1), 78-102.

IAASB. (2023). International Standards on Auditing (ISA) 200-700. International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

IFRS Foundation. (2024). *Who we are and what we do*. Retrieved from https://www.ifrs.org/

Issa, H., Sun, T., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2016). Research ideas for artificial intelligence in auditing: The formalization of audit and workforce supplementation. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 13*(2), 1-20.

Johri, P. (2025). The augmented auditor: Redefining professional skills in the age of AI. *Accounting Horizons, 39*(1), 45-62.

Khorsheed, R., Stevens, C., Cope, L., & Ispirian, A. (2024). Digital taxonomies and AI: The new infrastructure of global financial reporting. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting, 35*(2), 210-235.

Kogan, A., Alles, M. G., Vasarhelyi, M. A., & Wu, J. (2014). Design and evaluation of a continuous data level auditing system. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 33*(4), 221-245.

Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017). The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 14*(1), 115-122.

Krahel, J. P., & Titera, W. R. (2015). Consequences of big data and formalization on accounting and auditing standards. *Accounting Horizons, 29*(2), 409-422.

Moll, J., & Yigitbasioğlu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. *The British Accounting Review, 51*(6), 100833.

Munoko, I., Brown-Liburd, H. L., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2020). The ethical implications of using artificial intelligence in auditing. *Journal of Business Ethics, 167*(2), 209-234.

Ncalo, S., & Marx, B. (2025). *The AI imperative in professional services: A survey of executive sentiment*. Deloitte Insights.

Onyenahazi, C. (2025). Artificial intelligence accounting: Transforming the profession from record-keeping to strategic advisory. *Journal of Accountancy, 239*(3), 32-41.

Pan, G., & Seow, P. S. (2021). The impact of artificial intelligence on accounting and auditing. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance, 32*(5), 13-19.

Perols, J., Bowen, R., Zimmermann, C., & Samba, B. (2017). Finding needles in a haystack: Using data analytics to improve fraud prediction. *The Accounting Review, 92*(2), 221-245.

Qin, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the future of accounting standards: A principles-based perspective. *Journal of Financial Reporting, 9*(1), 45-68.

Sharbek, G. (2023). *Conducting systematic literature reviews in business research: A step-by-step guide*. Routledge.

Vasarhelyi, M. A., Kogan, A., & Tuttle, B. M. (2015). Big data in accounting: An overview. *Accounting Horizons, 29*(2), 381-396.

Zhang, C., Yang, L., & Appelbaum, D. (2020). Toward effective big data analysis in continuous auditing. *Accounting Horizons, 34*(2), 1-22.